

Arizona Supreme Court
Civil Petition for Review - Appeal

CV-26-0204-PR

ALLEY v PIMA COUNTY

Appellate Case Information

Case Filed: 2-Jul-2026
Case Closed:

Dept/Composition

Side 1. NINA ALLEY, AS GUARDIAN AND CONSERVATOR FOR AND ON BEHALF OF LOUIS TAYLOR, A SINGLE MAN, Plaintiff/Appellant

(Litigant Group) NINA ALLEY, AS GUARDIAN AND CONSERVATOR FOR AND ON BEHALF OF LOUIS TAYLOR, A SINGLE MAN

• Nina Alley

Attorneys for: Plaintiff/Appellant

Stanley G Feldman, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 838)
Peter T Limperis, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 19175)
Max W. Larnerd, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 40607)
John P Leader, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 12511)
Timothy P Stackhouse, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 30609)

Side 2. PIMA COUNTY, Defendant/Appellee

(Litigant Group) PIMA COUNTY

• Pima County

Attorneys for: Defendant/Appellee

Daniel P Struck, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 12377)
Nicholas D Acedo, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 21644)

CASE STATUS

Jul 2, 2026.....Awaiting Petition for Review

Jul 2, 2026.....Pending

PREDECESSOR CASE (S)	Cause/Charge/Class	Judgment/Sentence	Judge, Role <Comments>	Trial	Dispo
2 CA 2 CA-CV 25-0185					
↳ PIM C20224158			Cynthia T Kuhn, Trial Comments: (none)		

5 PROCEEDING ENTRIES

1.	2-Jul-2026	FILED: Motion to Extend Deadline to File Petition for Review; Certificate of Service (Appellee Pima County)
2.	6-Jul-2026	FILED: Record of CofA: Link To Electronic Record
3.	6-Jul-2026	Appellee Pima County filed a "Motion to Extend Deadline to File Petition for Review" on July 2, 2026. Under Arizona Rules of Civil Appellate Procedure, Rule 6(b), a motion for a procedural order must include a statement by the moving party of whether the other parties consent to, or object to, the entry of the order that is sought; or why the moving party was unable to contact the other parties before filing the motion. Further, the caption of a motion for procedural order must include the words, "Motion for Procedural Order." The motion is non-compliant in these respects. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED the motion is denied without prejudice to Appellee's ability to file a motion in compliance with ARCAP 6(b). This matter is subject to dismissal if a compliant motion or petition for review is not filed by July 16, 2026. (Aaron C. Nash, Clerk)
4.	7-Jul-2026	FILED: Unopposed Motion for Procedural Order to Extend Deadline to File Petition for Review; Certificate of Service (Appellee Pima County)
5.	8-Jul-2026	An "Unopposed Motion for Procedural Order to Extend Deadline to File Petition for Review" (Appellee Pima County) having been filed on July 7, 2026, IT IS ORDERED granting an extension of time to file the petition for review to no later than August 13, 2026. No further extensions of time will be granted absent extraordinary circumstances. This matter is subject to dismissal if the petition for review is not filed by August 13, 2026. (Aaron C. Nash, Clerk)